

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/665,209	NISHIHARA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Seungsook Ham	2817

**All Participants:**

(1) Seungsook Ham.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Rustan J. Hill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3/24/05, 3/28/05

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Taniguchi et al. (US 2003/0058066A1)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed over the difference between a die-attach portion X in Taniguchi et al. (fig. 3) and a die-attach face A in applicant's claimed invention (see figs. 9 and 10). The examiner suggested to amend the claim 1 by inserting "under the filter element" in line 18. The applicant agreed to amend the claim accordingly.